FISCAL SURVEY OF THE STATIES 1983

NATIONAL GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
STATE BUDGET OFFICERS

THE NATIONAL GOVERNORS' ASSOCIATION, founded in 1908 as the National Governors' Conference, is the instrument through which the nation's governors collectively influence the development and implementation of national policy and apply creative leadership to state problems. The association's members are the governors of the fifty states and the commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa. The association has seven standing committees on major issues: Agriculture; Community and Economic Development; Criminal Justice and Public Protection; Energy and Environment; Human Resources; International Trade and Foreign Relations; and Transportation, Commerce and Technology. Subcommittees and task forces that focus on principal concerns of the governors operate within this framework. The association works closely with the administration and Congress on state-federal policy issues from its offices in the Hall of the States in Washington, D.C. Through its Office of State Services, the association serves as a vehicle for sharing knowledge of innovative programs among the states and provides technical assistance and consultant services to governors on a wide range of management and policy issues. The Office of Research and Development serves governors by undertaking demonstration projects and providing anticipatory research on important policy issues.

1982-83 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Governor Scott M. Matheson, Utah, Chairman Governor James R. Thompson, Illinois Governor John Carlin, Kansas Governor Joseph E. Brennan, Maine Governor James B. Hunt, Jr., North Carolina Governor Dick Thornburgh, Pennsylvania Governor William J. Janklow, South Dakota Governor Lamar Alexander, Tennessee Governor Richard A. Snelling, Vermont

Raymond C. Scheppach, Executive Director

THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE BUDGET OFFICERS was founded in 1945 to encourage study and research in state budgeting, the exchange of information, and cooperation to foster greater efficiency and economy of programs through improved techniques of allocating and managing available resources. Its members are chief budget officers of the states, their deputies, and heads of finance or administration departments in which the budget office is located. The National Association of State Budget Officers membership is organized into five standing committees: Commerce, Physical Resources, and Transportation; Education and Human Resources; Intergovernmental Relations; Management, Systems, Data, and Reporting; and Personnel Development.

1982-83 EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Clark T. Stevens, Georgia, President Jed Kee, Utah Edward G. Hofgesang, New Jersey Robert L. Mandeville, Illinois William L. Phillips, Delaware Stephen Richman, New York Judith Palmer, Indiana

Gerald Miller, Executive Director

Tom Herndon, Florida Mike Zuhl, Utah William Putnam, South Carolina John Fadoir, Connecticut Ronald Mosher, Iowa Stuart Connock, Virginia Jensen S. L. Hee, Hawaii

FISCAL SURVEY OF THE STATES 1983

National Governors' Association Office of Research and Development

National Association of State Budget Officers Washington, D.C.

Price: \$6.00

June 1983

© 1983 by the National Governors' Association and the National Association of State Budget Officers, Washington, D.C. Permission to quote from or reproduce materials in this publication is granted when due acknowledgement is made.

Printed in the United States of America

CONTENTS

i.	nitroduction	1
2.	Summary Analysis	5
3.	Detailed Analysis	7
4.	Background	9
	APPENDIX	11
	Technical Notes	11
	Tables	13

			••	
				• * *
		•		
				- Mayor - American
	.*			*

FISCAL SURVEY OF THE STATES 1983

1. Introduction

This ninth annual survey of state budget conditions, published by the National Governors' Association and the National Association of State Budget Officers, is by far the bleakest ever. Aggregate state budget balances, which dropped by over \$4 billion from fiscal 1982 to 1983, are at a new low; almost every state has initiated austerity measures from raising taxes and cutting budgets across the board to furloughing and laying off employees: and the situation for 1984 remains grim.

As states close the books on their fiscal 1983 budgets, the 50-state balance is expected to be less than \$300 million or two tenths of one percent of current expenditures. 1/State spending has slowed dramatically, and few states are expecting big revenue gains in 1984. Highlights of the 1983 survey include:

In fiscal 1983, 47 states used some type of budget balancing measure — up from 42 the previous year. Most frequently used were hiring limits (42 states), selective program cuts (37), restricted out-of-state travel (32), and temporary or permanent revenue increases (33).

Forty-six states are on a fiscal year that begins July I and ends on June 30. For these states, the current year runs from July 1982 through June 1983, and is referred to as fiscal 1983. For states with other fiscal years (Alabama, starting on October I; Michigan, October I; New York, April 1; and Texas, September 1), the fiscal year designation refers to the year in which the fiscal year ends.

- In 1984, 46 states expect to continue using budget balancing measures, relying heavily on temporary or permanent tax increases (38), hiring limits (27), and restricted out-of-state travel (18).
- In fiscal 1983, 50 state balances are expected to total \$291 million; if Texas is excluded, the 49 state total would show a deficit.
- The 50 states expect the total ending balance in fiscal 1984 to rise slightly—to \$1.26 billion. However, over half of the states (27) expect 1984 balances to be the same or lower than 1983's.
- Twenty-seven states are expecting fiscal 1983 ending balances of less than one percent of current expenditures; by fiscal 1984, 28 states' balances will be less than one percent.
- The ending fiscal 1983 ending balance for the 50 states would finance only one day of operation; in fiscal 1984, it rises slightly to three days. In fiscal 1982, the aggregate could have financed 11 days.
- In fiscal 1983, states spent more than they took in. In 1984, states expect to collect slightly more than they spend, reflecting the reality that for most states the use of end-of-year balances to adjust their budgets is no longer an option.
- No state is immune to the recent recession's blows. Even oil rich states, which in past years have registered the largest surpluses, are eating into those balances at a rapid pace as their revenues fall with lowered oil prices. Texas, for example, is predicting a surplus of only \$22 million in fiscal 1984 a drop of over \$600 million from its 1983 ending balance. Similar but less dramatic drops were evidenced in Wyoming, Oklahoma, Louisiana and Alaska.

The current fiscal year is proving especially difficult for states. The recession has severely reduced state revenue collection and increased the demand for more services. The revenue problem has been particularly difficult. Final fiscal 1983 50 state revenues are expected to be only \$6 billion over fiscal 1982's revenues — \$8 billion less than projected this time last year.

A number of states have been forced to raise taxes simply to maintain services at minimally acceptable levels. Twelve states reported permanent revenue increases and 14 temporary revenue increases in fiscal 1982. In 1983, 27 states enacted permanent revenue increases and 24 have enacted or proposed temporary taxes. Eighteen proposed or enacted both. For fiscal 1984, 34 states have enacted or proposed permanent revenue increases; 19 have enacted or called for temporary taxes.

Table 1
STATES ADOPTING OR PROPOSING NON-PERSONNEL
BUDGET BALANCING MEASURES

	FY 1982	FY 1983	FY 1984
Across the Board Cuts	17	27	2
Selective Program Cuts	25	37	2
Reduced Recommendation Prior to Enactment	9	12	11
Permanent Revenue Increases	12	27	34
Temporary Revenue Increases	14	24	19
Capital Financing to Bonds	5	6	9
Move General Funds to			
Special Funds	8	17	21
Other Government Entitie	s 1	3	3
Delayed Expenditures	13	15	6
Advanced Tax Dates	10	19	11

Most states have reduced spending as well. In 1982, 17 states cut "across the board" and 25 made selective program cuts. In fiscal 1983, 27 cut across the board and 37 cut or expect to cut selected programs. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the information on state austerity actions. State-by-state actions are detailed in Appendix Tables A 11-14.

In fiscal 1982, 42 states adopted either a personnel or non-personnel austerity measure. By fiscal 1983, 47 states had adopted an austerity measure and in 1984, 46 states have adopted or plan to adopt one of the options listed on the two tables. All told, 49 states have enacted at least one of the measures either in 1982 or 1983 or plan to in 1984. Wyoming is the only state which has not.

In fiscal 1983, 12 governors reduced recommended expenditures prior to enactment of their budget; 11 have done so already for their fiscal 1984 budgets. Moving general expenditures to special funds or other governmental entities has become increasingly popular in fiscal 1983 and 1984, as has advancing the tax dates.

In the personnel and travel arena, much of the activity was in fiscal 1982 and 1983. For example, in five of the six areas cited under personnel and travel, 1984 proposed actions are fewer than those taken in 1982. In the one exception, employee furloughs, the number expected in fiscal 1984 is slightly larger than the number of furloughs in fiscal 1982, but smaller than the number of states who used or plan to use furloughs in fiscal 1983. However, if conditions in 1984 remain austere, governors will likely reinstitute personnel and travel restraints during the year.

Like its mainland counterparts, Puerto Rico has had its budget balances fall and has adopted a variety of austerity measures over the past few years. In fiscal 1983, Puerto Rico selectively reduced expenditures, adopted a permanent revenue increase, moved capital spending to bonds and delayed expenditures. It also imposed hiring limitations and restricted travel. In fiscal 1984 a number of other austerity measures have been proposed.

Table 2
STATES ADOPTING OR PROPOSING PERSONNEL AUSTERITY MEASURES

	FY 1982	FY 1983	FY 1984
Laid Off Personnel	20	22	12
Hiring Limits	37	42	27
Unpaid Furloughs	4	9	5
Restricted Travel			
Out-of-State	24	32	18
In-State	16	23	12

2. Summary Analysis

Table 3 presents aggregated data on the fiscal condition of the 50 states. It shows:

- Aggregate budget balances are expected to fall radically from fiscal 1982 to 1983 – by more than \$4 billion – but will likely rise slightly in fiscal 1984. The 1984 figure is only 0.7 percent of current year expenditures, and far below the level fiscal experts consider financially prudent.
- Revenues are expected to grow only four percent between 1982 and 1983 — far below the 9.1 percent increase expected this time last year. Between 1983 and 1984, revenues are expected to grow by nine percent. This compares to average annual revenue growth of 10 percent in past years.
- Expenditures are expected to rise by 8.8 percent between fiscal 1982 and 1983 and 4.8 percent in 1984 — the lowest expenditure growth in recent years.

Table 3
GENERAL FUND SUMMARY: FY 1982-84
(\$ in billions)

		FY 1983 Estimate	FY 1984 Estimate
Beginning Balance	\$ 6.5	\$ 4.5	\$ 0.3
Revenues and Adjustments	\$153.8	\$160.8	\$174.7
Expenditures and Transfers	\$155.8	\$165.1	\$173.7
Ending Balance	\$ 4.5	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.3
Balance as percent of Current-Year Expenditure	3.0%	0.2%	0.7%

Balances are substantially below the levels of previous years as well. The aggregate balances over the past eight years are shown below. The comparative balances as a percent of expenditures for these years further illustrate dramatic decline — ranging from a high of nearly 10 percent in fiscal 1979 to two tenths of one percent in 1983.

FY 1977		\$ 5.0 billion
FY 1978		\$ 8.9 billion
FY 1979		\$11.2 billion
FY 1980		\$11.8 billion
FY 1981		\$ 6.5 billion
FY 1982		\$ 4.5 billion
FY 1983	est.	\$ 0.3 billion
FY 1984	est.	\$ 1.3 billion

Expenditures (and transfers) exceeded revenues (and adjustments) by \$2 billion in 1982 and \$4.2 billion in 1983. By 1984, states expect the two to come closer in line, with revenues (and adjustments) exceeding expenditures (and transfers) by about one billion dollars. The 1984 shift is understandable since most states no longer can count on end-of-year balances to fill in revenue shortfalls.

In fiscal 1983, 38 states expect expenditures (and transfers) to exceed revenues (including adjustments). In fiscal 1984, the number drops to 19 states which believe their expenditures (and transfers) will exceed their revenues (including adjustments). These comparisons again illustrate that for many states, spending must be held in line with revenues since there are few if any cushions remaining.

3. Detailed Analysis

Table A-1 shows the ending balance by state from fiscal 1981 to fiscal 1984. Most noteworthy is the sharp drop between fiscal 1982 and 1983 — a drop of \$4 billion or nearly 100 percent. The most dramatic individual state stories are those of energy-rich states such as Alaska, Texas, Wyoming and Louisiana, which went from large ending budget balances in 1981 to very small amounts in fiscal 1984. Texas went from an ending balance of \$1.5 billion in 1982 to an expected \$22 million in fiscal 1984. Louisiana's fiscal 1981 ending balance of \$556 million fell to \$4 million in fiscal 1983. Alaska dropped from a \$491 million ending balance in fiscal 1981 to \$54 million in 1983. Wyoming saw a balance of \$157 million in fiscal 1982 drop to \$21 million in 1983 and an expected \$15 million in fiscal 1984.

Table A-1 shows a number of negative entries. Seven states reported deficits in fiscal 1983 and five now expect deficits in fiscal 1984. A number of these operate on a biennial budget that must be balanced on a two-year, rather than a one-year basis. In other cases, such as in Pennsylvania and Connecticut, the first revenues of the ensuing fiscal year will be used to offset a deficit.

The midwestern states, probably the hardest hit by the recession in 1982 and 1983, show low but stable budget balances that to some extent mask their fiscal situation. Many of these states, including Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Wisconsin, Minnesota, have enacted major tax increases and launched massive expenditure cutbacks just to avoid deficit situations and build some small ending balance.

Year-end balances are expressed as a percentage of expenditures in Table A-2. The average for all states combined drops from three percent at the end of fiscal 1982 to two-tenths of one percent at the end of fiscal 1983, an extremely low level in relation to historical patterns. Balances at the end of fiscal 1983 and 1984 are expected to exceed 10 percent of expenditures in only one state - Nevada. In fiscal 1982, seven states had balances at or above this level. Twenty-seven states expect negative fiscal 1983 balances or balances of less than one percent of current year expenditures; by fiscal 1984, that will rise to 28 states. Of the 12 southeastern states, only Mississippi, whose ending balance represents 2.52 percent of expenditures, exceeded one percent in fiscal 1983. Half the New England states ended fiscal 1983 with a deficit and only one, Maine, ended the year with a balance of over one percent of expenditures.

Tables A-3, A-4, and A-5 show state resources, expenditures, and balances for each of the three fiscal years. Table A-6 expresses the ending balance of each state as the number of days that could be financed out of the balance for fiscal 1982, 1983 and 1984. The average of all states drop from 11 days in fiscal 1982 to one day in 1983 and three days in 1984.

Tables A-7, A-8, and A-9 show state-by-state data on beginning balances, revenues, adjustments, resources, expenditures, transfers and ending balance for fiscal years 1982, 1983, and 1984.

Table A-10 shows both nominal (actual) and real (inflation-adjusted) percentage changes in state expenditures between fiscal 1982 and 1983, and between fiscal 1983 and 1984. In nominal dollars, total state budgets increased only six percent between 1982 and 1983 and are expected to increase only five percent between 1983 and 1984.

The inflation-adjusted calculations were made using a six percent deflator for 1983 and five percent for 1984. On this basis, states showed a negative growth between 1982 and 1983, and growth of less than one percent is expected

in 1984. Twenty-one states showed a negative real spending growth rate in 1983; 22 expect negative real growth rates in 1984. On the other hand, seven states showed real growth of over 10 percent in 1983; three expect real growth rates of over 10 percent in fiscal 1984.

Tables A-11 and A-12 show non-personnel state budget balancing measures enacted or proposed for fiscal 1983 and 1984. Tables A-13 and A-14 give state-by-state breakdowns of proposals or actions in the personnel and travel areas.

In publishing this list of state actions, the participating organizations are not endorsing any particular measure as desirable state policy. For example, new restrictions on out-of-state-travel may appear appropriate in some states with heavy travel, but inappropriate in states which already have major restrictions or in some situations where restrictions can reduce rather than increase revenues (e.g., restricting travel of corporation income tax auditors).

4. Background

This is the ninth annual Fiscal Survey of the States published by the National Governors' Association and the National Association of State Budget Officers. The information in this survey was obtained in the spring of 1983 and is generally based on the governors' fiscal 1984 budgets as they were presented to the legislatures. Data for fiscal 1982 are actual and reflect actions taken by the legislatures. Data for the current year (fiscal 1983) are predictions based on six to nine months of actual experience and estimates for the rest of the year, which for 46 states ends on the last day of June. Data for fiscal 1984 reflect recommendations of the governors to their legislatures and are subject to changes they may make. In addition, the estimates may differ from actual numbers at the end of the year due to such factors as revisions in revenue estimates resulting from a weaker or stronger recovery than anticipated, revisions in both revenue and spending resulting from federal budget changes, and revisions in expenditures affected by such factors as welfare caseloads and medical costs.

Data provided in this survey relate to the general fund of each state and generally do not include federal grant dollars or special funds earmarked for particular purposes such as state highway trust funds supported by fuel taxes and motor license fees. Because most broadbased state services and most state aid to schools and local governments are financed from the general fund, the status of the general fund is the best single gauge of the financial condition of a state.

Unlike the federal government, states cannot run longstanding deficits. Forty-nine states have some type of constitutional or statutory limitations against having deficits. Vermont is the only exception. However, there are some qualifications. For example, 21 states enact budgets for two fiscal years rather than one (Arkansas, Florida, Hawaii, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maine, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming). In these states, the requirement for a balanced budget may call for a budget that is balanced over the biennium, and the balance at the end of the first year may be a negative number.

State balances serve a number of purposes: hedges against economic uncertainty or misjudgments of revenue or expenditures; reserves against natural disasters, pending litigation, or other emergencies; and assurances of adequate cash flow. If these contingencies do not develop, some states use the year-end balance as a source of funding for capital projects. In other states, the balance is carried over as a resource to fund expenditures in the following year.

APPENDIX

Technical Notes

The Survey. The survey on which this report was based was taken by the National Association of State Budget Officers and the National Governors' Association early in 1983. The questionnaires were completed by state budget officers. The data generally reflect the estimates made in the governors' budget proposals to the legislatures. Responses were received from and verified by budget officers in the fifty states and Puerto Rico.

Adjustments, Transfers and Expenditure Reporting. The structure of the survey presumed accounting identities as follows:

- (1) TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE = Beginning Balance + Adjustments + Revenue
- (2) ENDING BALANCE = Total Funds Available Transfers Expenditures
- (3) BEGINNING BALANCE, Year N = Ending Balance, Year N 1

Exceptions to this identity result from rounding and from the practice in a few states of making adjustments between the ending balance in one year and the beginning balance in the next, which are handled as transfers or adjustments in most states. These exceptions have only a minor impact on the overall results of the survey.

Reporting concepts within this structure vary from state to state, as do definitions of what activities are included in the general fund. Thus, the results of the fiscal survey are not particularly appropriate for comparisons among states in total spending (for example, per capita expenditures). They are more appropriate for comparisons over time in the same state.

Reliability of Estimates. Fiscal 1982 closed for most states in June 1982 and for all states sometime in 1982.

Thus, fiscal 1982 numbers are normally actuals, with adjustments possible only as a result of audits. Fiscal 1983 was only partially complete when the survey was taken, so the data represent actuals for a portion of the year and estimates.

Only official revenue and expenditure estimates are used. In some cases, the reliability of revenue forecasts is a function of the length of time since the official forecast was last updated.

APPENDIX TABLES

			FY 1984	0	96	17	190		105	54	19	0		83	6	5. 8.) [[77	7 7 -	15	9	7	, 0	-150	55
	1984	DV 1002	1.1.1783	0 ;	74	0 0	-885	7	, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	50	II	5	46	, c	150	64	84	u č	15	**	13),	4.5	O i	31	53
Table A-1 ENDING BALANCES BY STATE 1001	(\$ millions)	FY 1982		24 266	6	0 9	001	15	07-	259) O		210	0	187	⊃ ¢	21	92	42	867	156 156		サセ	-598	39	63
ENDING BAL		FY 1981	20	167	133	737		57	9- 5	109	102	(232	761	30	31		152	556	25	150	Ö	0	2-	77	
		State	Alabama	Alaska Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware	Georgia	0	Hawaii	Idaho	Illinois Terr	Indiana	TOWA TOWAR	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Maine	ייימו ל ומייי	Massachusetts	Michigan Minnesota	Mississippi	Missouri	

13 22 43 -11	55 58 51 51 30	80 0 33 5	22 11 5 6	-11 -79 -79 18 62 62	1,263
22 - 9 44 - 37	43 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	74 74 -235 -235 0	7 11 630 15	286 -286 -21	291
34. -16 46 46 -33	209 62 62 109 109 50	377 139 8 3	20 34 1,496 30 0	198 251 78 71 157	4,511
61 57 44 -31 305	192 57 155 176 0	378 8 72 31	20 28 809 24 24	300 6 48 14 95	6,538 38
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey	New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Total Puerto Rico

	Table A-2		
	ENDING BALANCE AS PERCENTAGE OF EXPENDITURES	GE OF EXPENDITURES	
State.	wardice at end of year related to expenditure during year)	expenditure during year)	
51810	FY 1982	COO! VO	
Alabama		F1 1783	FY 1984
Alaska	1.35	00	
Arizona	6.24	00.	00.
Ārkansas	.56		3.26
California	00.	07.1	26.
ļ	94.	00.	00-
Colorado		40.41	98.
Connecticut	1.05	2	
Delaware	-1.35	20:4	6.18
Florida	7.91	7/*[-	00
Georgia	5.38	رق./	7.56
1	00.	.21	61.1
Hawaii		20.	00.
Idaho	17.07	,	3
Illinois	00.	96.9	8 70
Indiana	2,42	00.	+ 66
Iowa	00.	1,91	77.
5	1.25	2.43	×
Kansas	ŀ	2.54	7***
Kentucky	9,90	•	77.7
Louisiana	2.02	3.18	20 8
Maine	ħ6°9	99•	5
Maryland	2.91	01.	71.
ייבי ל זמווה	5.32	1.84	.11.
Massachington		1.15	2.00
Michigan	60"		×ĭ.
Minnerote		- 66	,
Missississi	-13.78	00.	79.
Mississippi	31.6	.25	00.
Linosoffi	3,05	2.52	15.5.
		2,38	2,32

Table A-3 RESOURCES, EXPENDITURES, AND BALANCES, FY 1982 (\$ millions)
--

Alabama Resources Expenditures Balance Alabama 1,729 1,775 24 Arkansas 1,621 26 24 Arkansas 1,630 1,621 9 Callifornia 21,809 21,709 100 Colorado 1,446 2,969 40 Connecticut 2,929 2,969 -40 Delaware 6,65 2,969 -40 Gondrado 1,446 1,431 2,96 Georgia 1,446 1,431 2,96 Georgia 4,58 4,58 -40 Georgia 1,440 1,230 1,8 Ilmois 8,462 8,275 187 Karsas 1,480 1,730 2,18 Indian 2,161 8,275 187 Massas 1,78 4,534 4,534 Maryland 2,122 2,080 4,29 Maryland 4,534 4,54 4,54 Mis		(SIIOTETIII A)	183	
1,799 1,775 4,965 1,630 1,630 1,631 1,631 1,091 1,1	State	Resources	Fyrandituses	
1,775 4,965 1,630 1,630 1,630 1,631 1,091 1,1091 1,146 1,446 1,420 1,426 1,426 1,73 4,296 6,45 5,069 4,810 3,533 1,440 1,230 8,462 8,275 2,161 1,785 1,763 1,775 1,126 2,930 11,334 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,598 6,657 2,930 11,272 1,272 1,232 2,086 6,76 6,76 6,76 6,76 1,334 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 1,538 2,065 2,065	1		Sammucha	Balance
1,653 1,630 1,630 1,630 1,631 1,091 21,809 1,446 1,441 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 2,929 1,440 1,723 1,426 1,723 1,426 1,723 1,426 1,723 1,426 1,334 1,723 1,426 1,334 1,723 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,123 1,426 1,334 1,543 1,426 2,930 11,426 1,334 1,543 1,426 2,930 11,426 1,234 1,234 1,232 2,930 11,232 1,232 2,930 11,232 2,930 11,232 2,930 11,232 2,930 11,232 2,065		1,799	1 775	
1,630 1,630 1,631 1,621 1,091	eri e	4,965	7347	24
1,091 1,091 1,092 1,446 1,446 2,929 2,969 6,645 3,533 1,440 1,440 1,440 1,230 408 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,785 1,783 1,785 1,785 1,344 2,122 2,161 1,334 2,122 2,930 11,344 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,598 4,548 4	Sec	1,630	1001	266
1, 491 1, 446 1, 446 1, 446 2, 929 696 696 696 6, 959 1, 440 1, 440 1, 440 1, 408 8, 462 8, 273 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 785 1, 426 1, 789 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 594 4, 598 676 676 8, 657 2, 930 11 11, 233 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128 2, 128	1.0	1,091	179967	σ
11,446 2,929 2,969 6,96 6,96 6,96 6,96 7,969 7,969 7,969 7,969 6,969 1,431 1,440 1,230 4,88 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,783 1,212 2,930 11 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,966 6,76 8,459 1,452 1,233 2,128 2,965 6,65	1114	21,809	1,091	\ C
1,446 2,929 696 696 645 5,069 4,810 3,533 1,440 1,440 1,230 4,08 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,426 1,763 1,763 1,426 1,334 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,544 4,548 4,459 3,911 1,233 2,128 2,065 6 2,065 6 2,920 1,426 1,334 2,930 1,344 4,548 4,533 2,128 2,065			21,709	000
1,431 696 696 696 6,969 6,969 6,969 6,969 6,100 1,230 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,334 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,124 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 676 677 2,930 11,233 2,930 1,233 2,128 2,065 676 677 676 677 676 677 677 6	91	1.446		001
2,969 696 696 696 5,069 3,533 1,440 1,440 1,230 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,334 2,122 2,184 4,594 4,594 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,544 4,548 1,272 1,233 2,065 2,065 2,969 1,272 2,128 2,969 2,980	ticut	01.6	1,431	1
5,069 3,533 1,440 1,440 1,440 1,230 8,462 2,161 2,161 2,161 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,785 1,334 2,122 2,080 676 657 2,930 11,294 4,594 4,459 4,452 2,128 2,128 2,065 2,065	ïe	6767	2, 969	15
5,069 3,533 1,440 1,440 1,230 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,594 4,596 5,086 3,086 3,086 2,930 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065		969	20762	04-
3,533 4,810 1,440 1,230 8,462 8,275 2,161 1,785 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,785 1,763 1,334 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,296 657 3,086 6,57 2,930 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,544 4,459 4,544 1,233 2,128 2,065		5,069	C+9	15
1,440 408 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,785 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,34 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,548 4,568 1,233 2,065 2,065	ni ni	3,533	4,810	250
1,440 408 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,785 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,763 1,334 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,594 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,459 3,911 1,272 2,128 2,128 2,065			3,533	673
1,230 8,462 2,462 2,161 1,785 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,544 4,452 2,930 4,544 4,454 3,911 4,548 4,544 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,508 1,233 2,065		677		0
8,462 8,462 2,161 1,785 1,763 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,080 4,594 6,76 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,544 4,548 4,548 1,233 2,065		7,440	200	
8,462 2,161 1,785 1,408 1,785 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,594 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 2,930 1,233 2,128 2,065		408	1,430	210
2,161 1,785 1,426 2,122 2,080 4,594 676 3,086 4,548 4,548 4,544 4,459 1,233 2,128 2,128 2,128 2,128		8,462	80%	
1,785 2,161 1,426 1,334 2,122 2,080 4,594 4,296 676 657 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,452 1,233 2,128 2,065		2,161	8,275	2 2
1,426 1,426 2,122 2,122 2,122 2,080 4,594 676 3,086 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,548 4,544 4,548 2,930 1,272 1,272 1,272 2,128 2,065		792	2,161	18/
1, 426 2, 122 2, 122 4, 594 4, 594 676 657 3, 086 657 2, 930 4, 548 4, 459 4, 459 1, 233 2, 128 2, 128		1,100	1,763	0
1,426 2,122 2,080 4,594 676 676 657 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,459 4,459 1,233 2,128 2,065			Costs	22
2,122 1,334 4,594 2,080 676 4,296 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,452 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065	\$	1,426		
t, 594	÷ (2,122	1,334	CO
tts	nt	12.00	2,080	76
3,086 55 3,086 2,930 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,452 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065		#C7 ° +	796	42
3,086 2,657 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,452 3,911 4,544 4,452 1,233 2,128 2,065	_	929	0776	298
tts 4,548 4,544 4,459 4,452 3,911 4,508 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065		3,086	/29	<u> </u>
4,548 4,459 3,911 1,272 2,128 4,544 4,544 4,545 1,233 2,128	:		2,930	74
4,544 4,459 3,911 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065	usetts	11 5110		126
4,459 3,911 1,272 1,233 2,128 2,065	~	0+7-4	4.544	
3,911 7,454 1,272 4,508 2,128 2,065	, cf	4,424	74.7	2 †
1,272 4,308 2,128 1,233 2,065		3,911	77464	9
2,128 1,23 2,065	<u>.</u>	1,272	4,508	. 598
2,063		2,128	1,233	39
			4,065	, ve

	NOTE:	Puerto Rico	Total	Butwo.	Wisconsin	West Virginia	Virginia	•	Vermont	Utah	Texas	ennessee	South		South	Rhode	Penn	Oregon	Okla	7.4.1	Ohio.	Z C	2 6	Nev	•	Ne Ne	Z	Z
include transfers (plus o	Resources in .	ico	-	64	in	gion	, pu		nt .		i	SSee	South Dakota	Total Olina		Rhode Island	Pennsylvania	ביי	Oklahoma		Ohio Ohio	North Carolina	New York	New Mexico	· ousey	New Hampshire	Nevada	No francisco
I balances carried forward plu or minus).	2,182	160,322	459	3,521	د ۱۶۰۱ 657 و	3,134	4/3	210	9,457	1,786	289	3	1,778	824	7,190	1,289	1,993		160,6	٤	3,100 400 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	16,201	¥.338	2,865	£ 270	214 200	382 700	
include transfers (plus or minus).	2 173	J55 % 10 2	3,450	3,208 1,235	2,937	273	889	7,961	1,752	269	Č	1,778	, 3162 821	7 183	4,016	1.616	0,041	7 07.4	5,276	3 37.	1,129	-	5,731	304	7.24 366	348 73.		
Expendi	•	71 157	78 78	198	0	30	7.49.1	3:)	c) w	, 0	-139	377		50	109	601	62	209		134	100	91-	34			

(¢ millione)	RESOURCES, EXPENDITURES, AND BALANCES, FY 1983	lable A-4
--------------	--	-----------

Massachusetts	Kansas	Hawaii	Colorado	Alabama	State
Michigan	Kentucky	Idaho	Connecticut	Alaska	
Minnesota	Louisiana	Illinois	Delaware	Arizona	
Mississippi	Maine	Indiana	Florida	Arkansas	
Missouri	Maryland	Iowa	Georgia	California	
4,821	1,460	1,490	1,631	1,849	Resources
4,705	2,298	452	3,191	3,827	
3,729	3,991	8,688	730	1,693	
1,236	722	2,224	5,160	1,143	
2,281	3,265	1,921	3,686	21,020	
4,776	1,414	1,394	1,568	1,849	Expenditures
4,705	2,282	452	3,247	3,773	
3,720	3,987	8,538	680	1,673	
1,205	709	2,176	5,149	1,143	
2,228	3,228	1,873	3,686	21,905	
45 9 9 53	45 14 13 37	84 64 750 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	5-5-63 11-5-63	-885	Balance

NOTE:	- [, net to KICO	D	lotal	1	8 yoming	W. ISCOUSIN	West Virginia	norganites	Washing III	Virginia	vermont	V (an	I chas	Teval	T	South Dakota	Carolina Carolina	South 0.	Rhode Teland	Pennsylvania	Oregon	Oklahoma	•	orgo	Nurth Dakota	North (New York	New Mexico	No.	w Jersey	New I	Nevada	Nebraska
Resources include 1982 Include transfers (plus or I		ő						mia	'n						Ö	2010	rot-	arolina	sail o	valle	rani:		na			Jakota	North Carolina	ork	exico	•	ersey	New Hampshire	י מי	ska
balances carried forward plus (or	2,308		165,364		467	3,791	l,323	3,569	3,225		305	1,006	10,411	1,856	288	•	1,912	866	7,454	7 , 2 , 7	1 640	1.996	100	7.206	644	3,498	17,513	1,327		6,399	286	475	742	364
Resources include 1982 balances carried forward plus (or minus) adjustments plus revenues,	1	165,073	•	944	4.078	1,315	3,564	3,225		323	991	9,780	1,845	281	,	I,912	864	7,670	1,447	1,922		45T'	7 10/-	427	3,497	17,513	1,284	.)>	6.289	323	431	751	342	
Expenditu	291		21	-286	50 1	٠, ١	0	į	- 100	15	630	11	7		0	N	-235	2	74		7.3	16		- c	> (5.7	1	110	. بد	£.	ı.	2		

Table A-5
RESOURCES, EXPENDITURES, AND BALANCES, FY 1984
(\$ millions)

Kansas 1,598 1,521 Kentucky 2,498 2,495 Louisiana 3,776 3,772 Maine 768 753 Maryland 3,431 3,425 Massachusetts 5,094 5,063 Michigan 5,323 5,322
1,598 2,498 3,776 768 3,431 5,094 5,323
3,7/6 768 3,431 5,094 5,323
5,094 5,323
£ 573

NOTE: R			Puerto Rico	;	Total	ļ	Wyoming	Wisconsin	West Virginia	Washington	Virginia		Vermont	Utah	Texas	l ennessee	South Dakota	• :	South Carolina	Khode Island	Pennsylvania	Oregon	Oklahoma		Ohio	North Dakota	North Carolina	New York	New Mexico	•	New Jersey	New Hampshire	Nevada	Montana
esources include 1983 bala Iclude transfers (plus or min			0						nia	,							ota		olina	and	ania		D			kota	arolina	*	xico	•	sey	mpshire	Á	, m
ances carried forward plus		2,536		174,946		461	4,274	1,384	3,829	3,366	•	331	1,037	10,073	1,998	296	1	2,048	902	7,991	1,587	1,677		8,095	521	3,696	19,154	1,304		6,854	331	427	761	326
Resources include 1983 balances carried forward plus (or minus) adjustments plus revenue include transfers (plus or minus).	2,535		173,682		944	4,211	1,366	5,508	3,365		342	I,020	10,051	1,987	291		Z,048	902	7,985	1,620	1,677		8,014	491	3,696	19,103	1,246		6,800	342	384	739	353	
:		1,263	•	15	62	` `	-/9	7 -	_	-11	17	22	11	: .	1	0	. 0	· U	- - - - - - - -	20	1	80	30	0	51	58		55	-11	43	22	13		

plus revenues. Expenditures

Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri	Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	Colorado Connecticut Delaware Florida Georgia	ω	State Alabama	NU
0 1 12 12	25 7 25 11 19	62 0 9 0	-5 29 20 0	2023	FY 1982	MBER OF DAYS OF OPERATIONS THAT ((balance at end of year related
itts 0 4 2 2 -50 1 1 -12 9 0 8	12 2 0 7	9 9 7 0 5	27 -6 5 1 -6 5	: - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FY 1983	1-6 COULD BE FINANCED FROM BALANCES to expenditure during year)
* 0 Z 0 Z	, s	21 o	. 2 2 3 3	0 4 0	FY 1984	

Puerto Rico	Weighted Average	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey
2	11	31 29 23 8 8	27 7 104 12 0	.0 1 85 0 0 1 0 0	96 96 96 96	96 8-36 8-36
0	,	0 1 2 2 17	-26 ²² 22 9	-1 1 0 1 1 1 4	12 0 0 1	-42 6
0		**************************************	-12 -12	0 0 0 0	17 0 222 4	-122 -114 111

	į	Missouri	Mississippi	Minnesota	Michigan	Massachuse++-		Maryland	Maine	Louisiana	rentucky	Nansas	7	Iowa	indiana	STOLING	Illipois	Idaho	Hawaii	O I	Georgia	Florida	Delaware	Connecticut	Colorado)	California	Arkansas	Arizona	Alaska	Alabama	State					
	74	77	-2	0	21		100	-) i	556 5.0	3 i	157	Ş	<u></u> (بر ا	197	2	232		102	109	<u>)</u>	- 00	``	7	161	737	- t	122	167) 0	Balance	Beginning	(\$ millions)	בייהב מיודטוארם	FY 1982 BAI ANCE	
	2,054	1,195	3.901	4.445	4.317	,	2,925	640	4,011	2,098	1,2/3	-	1,895	2,132	8,265	9 4	100	- 10/	777	3.378	4.468	645	2,995	1,374		20,960	1,091	1,441	4,474	1,775		Revenue			s, REVENUE	J	
	00	o 5	i L	203	300	7.1	= ;	<u>-</u> !	27	14			-140	<u>;</u>	0	0	23		ŭ	, i c) C	> c	> (112	3	<u>5</u> 6	0 1	15	Summents	Adiretman		(\$ millions)	S AND ADJUSTA	Table A-7	•
	1,272 2,128	3,911	4,459	4,548		3,086	676	4,594	22167	7,426		1,/6)	19167	20,462	8 5 5 6 7 8	1000	1.440	,,,,,	س دون دون	5,069	696	2,929	1,446	•	21,809	27,091	1,630	+, 765	1,799		Resources	ļ		ns)	MENTS. EXPENI	-7	
1,000	I,233	148 4	4,552	* 383	2,730	200	15.9	4.296	2,080	1,334		1,763	2,161	7,716	408	1,230	•	3,333	7,010	- of	2).5	2.969	1.421	,	21,709	1,091	1,621	4,261	1,775		Expenditures			OKES AND T			
0	167 0	0	192		0	w	0) C	> <	>	c	> c	- \	ላ የ	> <	>	,	0	0	0	0	. 0	1	C	· c	o c	ę S	<u>ئ</u> د	>	raisiers	7			RANSFERS			
63	-598 39	σ.	t-		156	19	298	42	92	}	22) C	187	0	210	;	c	3	259	<u>u</u> ;	-40	5		100	0	9	266	24		Balance	Ending				j		

320 368 368 0 301 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	2,173	2,182				ı
hire 44 44 455 444 4651 470 470 470 470 470 470 470 47	5,779	150,041	775,001	6 4	2,095	38	uerto Rico
51 320 1 382 348 44 368 0 708 724 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 Ima 155 3,230 0 1,338 1,129 a 176 367 0 1,338 1,129 7 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 0 6,080 11 6,091 6,041 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 38 1,289 0 1,289 0 1,289 31 791 1 7,190 7,182 20 268 0 1,888 -110 1,778 1,859 28 1,778 0 2,89 809 8,648 0 1,788 1,859 24 892 3 9,457 300 2,834 0 3,459 95 3,692 15 3,521 1,235 95 3,692 15 3,521 1,235	0	202	160 335	654	153,138	6,538	- CHAI
51 320 1 382 348 44 368 0 708 729 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 192 1,147 0 1,338 1,129 157 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 3 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 3 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 3 1,758 0 1,289 0 1,289 3 1 791 1 7,190 7,182 20 268 0 1,888 -110 1,778 1,859 24 892 3 300 2,834 0 3,459 15 3,435 0 3,459 16 3,453 0 3,459 17 3,235	0 (3,450	459	0	364	ţ	Total
51 320 1 382 348 44 368 0 708 729 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 192 1,147 0 1,338 1,129 157 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 a 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 a 176 367 0 5,384 3,276 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 72 7,076 41 7,190 7,182 38 1,289 0 1,289 1,436 31 791 1 824 822 20 268 0 1,888 -110 1,778 1,859 24 892 3 9,457 5,232 2,333 46 3,453 0 3,134 2,353 16 1,265 0 1,345	٥ (1,235	ונא נג טוני	G	3,492	9 +	yoming
61 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 192 1,147 0 1,338 1,129 157 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 360 367 0 3,384 3,276 0 6,080 11 6,091 6,041 8 1,289 0 1,289 1,616 72 7,076 41 7,190 7,182 10 1,888 -110 1,289 1,436 20 268 0 1,888 -110 1,778 1,859 20 268 0 1,788 0 1,778 1,859 20 268 0 2,834 0 3,453 0 3,134 2,353	ر د د	3,208	212	0	1,265	10	isconsin
61 320 44 651 320 44 651 44 368 0 708 724 305 305 5,554 6 192 1,147 0 1,57 15,872 272 16,201 1,4976 0 378 1,615 8 1,289 72 7,076 31 791 1 1 20 1,888 1,758 20 20 20 2,884 0 1,758 809 8,648 0 1,00 2,834 0 2,832 2,33 2,334 0 2,834 0 2,834 0 2,834 0 2,834 0 2,837 2,332 2,334 0 2,834 0 2,837 2,332 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,834 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,834 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,334 0 2,837 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,34	28.5	2,353	3,134	0 (3,453	÷ 0	est Virginia
61 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 ina 155 3,230 0 1,338 1,129 a 176 367 0 367 0 6,080 11 6,091 6,041 8 1,289 0 1,993 1,616 72 7,076 41 7,190 7,182 38 1,758 0 1,758 0 28 809 8,648 0 24 892 3 9,457 27, 272 2 273 27,076 3,739 2,5232 2, 27,076 2,1887 27,076 41 7,190 7,182 28 1,758 0 289 29 8,648 0 9,457 20 268 24 892 3 9,457 27,373 27,374 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 28,575 27,375 27,375 27,375 28,575 27,375 28,575 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 28,575 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 27,375 28,575 27,375	C	, !	.	0	2,834	Š	ashington
hire 44 44 551 44 561 308 44 368 0 708 772 305 5,554 6 192 1,147 0 155 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 367 0 6,080 11 6,091 57 72 7,076 41 791 11 12 20 20 20 20 1,888 -110 20 20 20 8,648 0 1,758 0 1,758 0 20 8,648 0 1,758 0 1,758 20 20 8,648 0 1,759 21 272 2,3 919 8,872 2,	٠.	273	273	7		300	irginia
51 320 1 382 348 44 368 0 708 724 651 0 708 724 651 0 708 724 1708 724 1708 724 1708 724 1708 724 1709 305 5,554 6 727 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 176 367 0 1,993 1,616 177 7,076 41 7,190 1,436 178 1,888 -110 1,788 1,615 0 1,289 1,188 -110 1,788 1,436 28 1,758 0 289 29 8,648 0 1,786 29 9,457 5,237	2,729	887	919	. (272	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	•
51 320 1 382 348 44 368 0 708 724 651 0 708 728 78 44 368 0 412 360 69 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 155 15,872 272 16,201 14,976 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 177 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 178 1,289 0 11 6,091 6,041 188 1,289 0 1,289 1,436 198 1,888 -110 1,778 1,829 118 20 268 0 289 8,648 0 1,786 269	ر د د	5.237	9,457	. (892	24	ermont
51 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 ey 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 colina 155 3,230 0 16,201 14,976 cota 176 367 0 3,384 1,129 cota 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 nd 31 791 1 6,091 6,041 lina 0 1,888 -110 1,289 tta 20 268 0 28 1,758 0 289	<u>.</u> .	1,753	1,/86	5 (8,648	2 0	tah
51 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 ey 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 colina 155 3,230 0 1,338 1,129 cotta 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 0 6,080 11 6,091 6,041 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 176 31 791 1 7,190 7,182 188 -110 1,778 1,859 18 20 268 0 760	5	269	707	0	1,758	908	exas
51 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 ey 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 ico 192 1,147 0 1,38 1,129 otha 155 3,230 0 3,384 1,976 otha 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 otha 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 otha 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 otha 176 367 0 1,384 3,276 otha 176 367 0 1,289 otha 176 367 0 1,289 otha 176 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,616 otha 176 378 1,615 0 1,993 1,436 otha 1,791 1 7,190 7,182 otha 1,791 1 7,190 7,182 otha 1,778 1,859			290	0	. 268	2 .	ennessee
61 320 1 382 348 44 651 0 708 724 44 368 0 412 360 305 5,554 6 5,865 5,731 192 1,147 0 1,38 155 3,230 0 1,338 1,129 176 367 0 3,384 3,276 0 6,080 11 6,091 6,041 378 1,615 0 1,289 72 7,076 41 7,190 0 1,888 -110 1,824 821	<u>,</u>	1,859	1,//8)	20	outh Dakota
61 320 1 382 44 4651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,38 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091 8 1,289 0 1,289 31 7,076 41 7,190 7		821	173 178	-110	888,1	ć	· •
61 320 1 382 44 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091 17 8 1,615 0 1,993 72 7,076 41 7,289	-	7,182	7,190	-	791	م د	South Carolina
61 320 1 382 44 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091 8 1,615 0 1,993 1	٠ د	1,436	7 100	41	7,076	<u>.</u> ;	Khode Island
61 320 1 382 44 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091 8 1,615 0 1,992	3	1,616	1 300	0	1,289	72	Pennsylvania
61 320 1 382 44 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091		•	1 992	0	1,610	50	Oregon
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 0 6,080 11 6,091	0	6,041	7,006			378	Ckianoma
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,230 0 1,338 176 367 0 3,384 1		914	6.091		0,000	1	OLIST.
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,38 155 3,230 272 16,201 1	0	3,276	54.2	0	\ 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	D	CIIIO
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 0 1,338 155 3,70 272 16,201	1,163	4,7/6	3,782	0	7,70	176	Obio
61 320 1 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 708 -31 301 0 305 5,554 6 57 15,877 0 1,147 0 1,338	0	1,129	16,201	2/2	2,00,0	155	North Carolina
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865 192 1,147 6 5,865		-	1,338	}	15 873	57	North Campi
61 320 1 382 57 651 0 708 44 368 0 412 305 5,554 6 5,865		•		>	1,147	7.67	New York
61 320 1 57 651 0 44 368 0 708 -31 301 0 412 305 5,554 6 270		5,731	J,865	,		3	New Mexico
61 320 1 57 651 0 382 44 368 0 708 -31 301 0 412	> a	304	5 270	σ,	5,554	500	•
61 320 1 57 651 0 382 44 368 0 708	١.	360	412	-	301	, do	New Jersey
61 320 1 57 651 1 382	· c	724	708	> c	368	44	New Hampshire
61 320	,	348	382	> +	651	; <u>\</u>	Nevada
					320	61	Nebraska

Table A-8
FY 1983 BALANCES, REVENUES AND ADJUSTMENTS, EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS
(\$ millions)

State	Beginning Balance	Revenue	Adjustments	Resources	Expenditures	Transfers	Ending Balance
Alabama	24	1,830	-5	1.849	1.849	D	
Alaska	266	3,561	0	3.827	3.773	5 (∑ 7.
Arizona	છ	1,582	102	1,693	1.673	0	، د
Arkansas	0	1,143	0	1.143	1,143	۰ ټ	_ !
California	100	20,490	430	21,020	21,899	6	-885
Colorado	5	1.483	133	1.63.	1 568	-	,
Connecticut	-40	3,216	<u>ت</u>	3, 191	3,247	o (J. C
Delaware	<u>5</u> 1	679	0	730	680	> (י ייב
Florida	259	106.4	0	5.160	5.149	5 1	- ,
Georgia	0	3632	54	3,686	3,686	0	0
Hawaii	210	1,235	45	1.490	1.394	0	9
Idaho	0	382	70	452	452	0	0;
Illinois	187	8,501	0	8,688	7,856	682	15
Indiana	0	2,224	0	2,224	2,014	162	•
lowa	22	1,987	-88	1,921	1,887	-14	4
Kansas	92	1,367	0	1.460	1.414	0	t-
Kentucky	42	2,228	27	2,298	2,282	0	15
Louisiana	298	3,610	83	3,991	3,987	0	
Maine	19	689	<u>,</u>	722	707	2	
Maryland	156	3,099	10	3,265	3,228	· O :	Ų,
Massachusetts	4	4,620	196	4,821	4,561	215	4
Michigan	6	4,695	.	4,705	4,705	0	
Minnesota	-598	4,322	_ح	3,729	3,584	136	
Mississippi) <u>3</u>	1,200	. .	1,236	1,232	-27	31

Puerto Rico	Total	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	Okiahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey
10	4,511	198 251 78 71 157	20 34 1,496 0	377 -139 8 8	209 62 109 109 50	-16 -33 -33
2,273	159,031	3,027 3,318 1,336 1,3717 3,717	268 1,822 8,915 930 305	1,708 1,588 7,394 855 2,051	1,050 16,644 3,389 334 7,140	330 758 352 352 320 6,192
26	1,819	-83 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29	06000	-89 0 33 33	68 807 0 0	0 0 77 -1 72
2,308	165,364	3,225 3,569 1,323 3,791 467	288 1,856 10,411 1,006 305	1,996 1,449 7,434 866 1,912	1,327 17,513 3,498 443 7,206	364 742 475 286 6,399
2,307	163,287	3,219 3,564 1,315 4,285 446	281 1,834 9,780 989 323	1,922 1,447 7,670 864 2,051	1,308 16,533 3,497 427 7,194	342 751 437 323 6,289
0	1,786	-207 0	030	-139 0 0 0	-24 980 0 0	00600
1	291	-286 21	7 11 630 15 18	74 2 -235 2 0	43 0 16 13	22 -9 44 -37 110

Table A-9FY 1984 BALANCES, REVENUES AND ADJUSTMENTS, EXPENDITURES AND TRANSFERS
(\$ millions)

State	Beginning Balance	Revenue	Adjustments	Resources	Expenditures	Transfers	Ending Balance
Alabama	0	1,902	-6	1,897	1,897	0	0
Alaska	54	2,798	0	2,852	2,762	. 0	90
Arizona	20	1,747	0	1,767	1,750		.77
Arkansas	0	1,211	0	1,211	1,211	0	0
California	-885	22,479	600	22,194	22,001	w	190
Colorado	63	1,717	51	1,831	1,700	26	¥501
Connecticut	-56	3,677	0	3,621	3,621	. 0	20
Delaware	50	718	0	768	714	. 0	54
Florida	I	5,690	0	5,701	5,634		, 67
Georgia	0	4,018	0	4,018	4,018	c	c
Hawaii	97	1,391	40	1,528	1,445	0	83
Idaho	0	394	60	454	452		
Illinois	150	8,383	0	8,533	7,960	423	051
Indiana	49	2,844	0	2,892	2,65/	761	27
Iowa	\$4	2,189	-163	2,075	2,023	o	21
Kansas	45	1,553	0	1,598	1,521	, 0	77
Kentucky	15	2,452	30	2,498	2,495	o C	٠.
Louisiana	4	3,772	0	3,776	3,772) C	n #
Maine	13	744		768	751	> N	. 5
Maryland	37	3,394	0	3,431	3,425	c	đ
Massachusetts	\$ 5	5,001	84	5,094	4,963	100	31
Michigan	0	5,323	0	5,323	5,322	. 0	
Minnesota	9	4,511	w	4,523	4,530	143	05.1-
Mississippi	31	1,370	0		1.40	0	_
Missouri	;			1,401	1940) (7 (

Puerto Rico	Iotal	1	wyoming	WISCONSIN	west Virginia	wasnington	Virginia	•	Vermont	Utah	lexas	i ennessee	South Dakota		South Carolina	Rhode Island	Pennsylvania	Oregon	Oklahoma		Ohio	North Dakota	North Carolina	New York	New Mexico		New Jersey	New Hampshire	Nevada	Nebraska
-	292		21	-286	00	S	0	7.0	: - ;	5	630	Ξ	7	c	4 C	<u>ا</u> ا د	-235	2	74	ţ	- i	,	-	0	43	į	= =	- 37	1.1.1	22
2,495	173,478	· ·	044	4,560	1,376	3,824	3,366	747	1,010	1 7 1	544.6	1,987	289	2,133	3 700	,,0//	7 977	1 585	1 717	8,082		505	207 E	18 877	1 26	09/44	ر مر المراد ا	200	755	344
41	1,174	c	> (5 (Э,	0	0	0		,	٥ د	> (0	-85	0	ر د		-114	-	O		, c	32/	, ,	>	c	, <u>†</u>	- 0	15	0
2,536	174,946	461	+/7(+	1,704	7,00	2	77t E	331	1,037	10,073	1,770	000	786	2,048	902	7,991	1,587	1,677		8,095	521	3,696	19,154	1,304	•	6,854	331	427	761	366
2,535	171,193	944	4,228	1,366	3,908	3,060	3 3 7 5	342	1,017	10,051	1,974	- 286	3	2,048	902	7,985	1,620	I,677		8,014	491	3,696	17,513	1,246		6,800	342	384	739	بد در در
, o	2,489	0	-17	0	0	0	•	01	ند	0	13	5		00	> 0	5	0	0	,	0 (5 (0	1.590	0		0	0	0 0	> c	>
- 1	1.263	Ľ	62	18	-79	_	: 	<u> </u>	17	22	Ξ	5	,	00	, (л (ا دد	၁	Q.	» v	ຮູ	- }	5 (58	Ş	55	<u>'</u> _;	27	3 5	;

ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURE INCREASES IN NOMINAL AND REAL TERMS

(using deflators of 6 percent for Figure 1 1992

(using deflators of 6 percent for Fiscal 1983 and 5 percent for Fiscal 1984)

þ
cer
nt c
han
ge)

Missouri	Minnesota	Michigan	Massach	Maryland	Maine	Kentucky Louisiana	Kansas	io *a	Indiana	Illinois	Idaho	Hawaii	Georgia	riorida	Delaware	Connecticut	Colorado	6	California	Artzona	Alaska	Alabama	State	?
-2.27 7.89	-17.48	5.11	10.17	7.91	-7.19	9.71	6-00	6.24	.69		10.78	13 33	4.33	7.05	5.43	9.36	9_57	.90	4.77	3.21	-19.71	и 17	Nominal	FY 198
-7.80 1.79	- 30	84	3,93	1.81	-12.45	3.50	•	.23	-5 01 -2.66	4.51	6.92		-1.57	- P	J.1/	3.37	•	-4.81	-1.16	-2 63	-1.73 -26.25		Real	FY 1982 to 1983
25.62 16.27 6.60	13.12	6.01	6.10	6.21		7.57	0.61	31,16	-1.82	.22	3.66	***	9.42	5.00	11.52	10.08		J. 93	4.60	-26.80	2.60	TRUMON		EV 100
19.64 10.73 1.52	7.74	2	1.05	-9.90	4.13	2.44	2.87	24.91	-6.49	- 1.20	30	3.82	4.21	.00	6.21	E8 7	.4.33	.90	38	-30.28	-2.29	Real	F 1 1985 to 1984	

4.80 .45 .45 3.88 .11.51 3.26 39.32 .00 06 5.22 .17 9.88

Table A-11

NON-PERSONNEL BUDGET BALANCING MEASURES
(FY 1983)

State	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Delaware	Florida	Georgia	Hawaii	Idaho	Illinois	Indiana	Iowa	Kansas	Kentucky	Louisiana	Maine	Maryland	Massachusetts	Michigan	Minnesota	Mississippi	Missouri
Expenditure Cuts General Selected	X	×	×	×	×	×		×	×		×	×	×		×	×	×					×	×	
ure Cuts Selected		×	×	×	×	×	×			×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×			סי	×	×	×	×
Reduced Recommendation Prior To Enactment				×		×	×										×			×	×	×	×	
Revenue Increase(s) Perm. Tem	×	×			×	×		'τ				;	×	×		×	×	×		×	×	×		×
nue ase(s)	×	×			×	×		×			×		I	טי			×					×		×
Moved Capital To Bonds				×												×								×
Moved General Fund Spending To Spec. Other Funds Ent.	×	×		×				×			×	×				×	×							
I Fund I Fund Other Ent.																						×		
Delayed Expend.	×			×			×		×		×	×	j	סי	×							×		
Advance Tax Date(s)	×	×		×		×	×				×	×	×	ס	×		×					×		×

Puerto Rico	Total	Wisconsin Wyoming	Washington West Virginia	Virginia	Utah	South Dakota Tennessee Texas	South Carolina	Pennsylvania	Oklahoma Oregon	Ohio Carola	North Carolina	New Mexico New York	New Jersey	Nebraska Nevada New Ham	Montana
lico	_	W 50	ton ginia	•	•	akota ee	arolina	vania	กล	מאטנמ	arolina	rk	rsey	Nebraska Nevada New Hamoshire	n
	27	×	××	<	×		×					××	>	×××	
×	37	×	××	< ×	ט,	×	ש' >	: ;	××	××	×	×	×>	×××	
	12		×				×			×				×	
×	27	×	××	: ×	×		××		×	×			××	·××	
	24	××	××			×	××	××	<	×	>	<	×	×סי	
×	6						×					טי	סי		
	17		××				×	××	×	×	>	<	סי		
	w						×						×		
×	5	×		•	U			×		,	ס		×	×	

×۳

NOTE: A P indicates a proposed measure.

19

×

Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri	Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	Connecticut Connecticut Delaware Florida Georgia	Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	State Alabama	
				× ×	Expenditure Cuts General Selected	NON
×	×		×	×	Reduced Recommendation Prior To Enactment	Table A-12 NON-PERSONNEL BUDGET BALANCING MEASURES (FY 1984)
ט מ××	×××°°	×	×ט ס ×	איי ×	Revenue Increase(s) Perm. Temp.	Table A-12 UDGET BALANCII (FY 1984)
יי	×		טי	∀ ט	Moved Capital To Bonds	NG MEASL
טי	∀××	×	טי טי	×	Moved General Fund Spending To Spec. Other Funds Ent.	IRES
סי			ט		Delayed Expend.	***
	*	× ×	טי	×	Advanced Tax Date(s)	

NOTE: A P indicates a proposed measure	Puerto Rico	Total	West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Utah Vermont Virginia	Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina South Dakota Tennessee	New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma	New Hampshire New Jersey New Mexico
indicates a p	,	N	Ď.		nia nd olina ota	olina ota	npshire sey
roposed me		ن				*	
20170	E		×	×	×× ,	< ×	×
	م 43 م	۳.	×××	ט××	×ָסיס ט	· "> ××	
	و1	סי	'× ×	××	σ×	× ×	פיםׂ ×ליםׂ
>	< v	טי		×		×	סי
*c	_		××	×	אסס מ	× ×	ם ×מים
d d	6			N.	טי		
	<u>-</u>			*	× ۳	×	

Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri	Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine Maryland	Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	Colorado Connecticut Delaware Florida Georgia	Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California	State	
× ××		× ××		× × ×	Actual Layoff(s)	PERSON
****	×××	××××	****	××× ×	Hiring Limitation(s)	Table A-13 PERSONNEL AND TRAVEL AUSTERITY MEASURES (FY 1983)
		×		×.	Unpaid Furloughs	-13 AUSTERITY MEASU
××	×××	×× ××	×× ××	××××	Restricted Out-of-State Travel	JRES
					Re in	

New Mexico New Mexico New Mexico New York X	Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey	× ×××	××××		××	
in d	New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	× ××	××××	Ω,	××××	× ××:
ta x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Nklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Khode Island	×××	××××	×	< ×	× ×
a	outh Dakota ennessee exas tah	×	× × ×	a ×	<a. td="" ×<=""><td></td></a.>	
22 42 9 32 × ×	irginia ashington est Virginia isconsin yoming	××	×××a	***	×××	××
	Total	22	× 45	6	35 ×	× 53

NOTE: A P indicates a proposed measure.

Table A-14

	PERSON	PERSONNEL AND TRAVEL AUSTERITY MEASURES (FY 1984)	USTERITY MEASU	RES	
State	Actual Layoff(s)	Hiring Limitation(s)	Unpaid . Furloughs	Restricted Out-of-State	Restricted In-State
Alabama Alaska	ם				Takeli
Arizona Arkansas	י טי	די סי נ	סי	טי טי	סים
California		טי טי		י סי	י טי
Colorado	טי	ט'	ט	ς.	
Connecticut		,	יי	×	×
Florida		סי			
Georgia		×		טי ×	ס" >
Hawaii		יסי		; ;	>
Indiio				77	7
Illinois	טי	ם			
Indiana Iowa				o	
Kansas					
Kentucky		<			
Louisiana	ט	>		×	×
Maine		η	סי	*0	ָ סי
Maryland				×	
Massachusetts		Ū			
Michigan	יטי	o h			
Minnesota	•	4			
Mississippi					
Missouri	סי				

	×	× ×			21 ×
×	×× >	:× × •			8 ×
	Ω,	<u>o</u> .			~ ×
ው ው ው ው	××	a × a.a.	ت ت	× ×	23 ×
α.	× £	ים ה			. 12
Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Jersey	New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio	Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina	South Dakota Tennessee Texas Utah Vermont	Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	Total Puerto Rico

The second supposes